

AUGUST 2026 | FACT SHEET

The Big Ugly Bill Is Making Michigan Families Go Hungry

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is the nation's most effective anti-hunger program and a critical lifeline for women and families across the country. In Michigan, [SNAP helps over one in eight households](#) afford groceries each month. [Women represent most adult SNAP participants](#), reflecting [persistent gender disparities](#) in income, caregiving, and poverty.

But the so-called "One Big Beautiful Bill Act" (OBBBA), enacted by President Trump and congressional Republicans in 2025, made deep SNAP cuts that will disproportionately hurt women and families in Michigan and across the country—[all to pay for massive new tax cuts for the billionaire class](#). Since this Big, Ugly Bill was enacted, [more than 124,000 people in Michigan have already lost SNAP benefits](#). Unless our policymakers reverse course, many more Michiganders will go hungry in the months and years to come.

SNAP's Impact in Michigan

SNAP plays a critical role in supporting families across Michigan. Prior to OBBBA's cuts:

- An estimated [13% of Michigan households received SNAP benefits](#)—that's almost 1,500,000 people, including over 492,000 children.
- Nearly one-third (31%) of SNAP households in Michigan included at least one child, and [close to one in four \(23%\) had a non-elderly person with a disability](#).
- Nationwide, about two-thirds (67%) of SNAP households with children were headed by a single adult, [most of whom were single moms](#).

SNAP makes a real difference for the people who receive it: [SNAP participants report better health](#) than their low-income peers who don't receive SNAP, and from 2015 to 2019, SNAP lifted an average of [232,000 people in Michigan above the poverty line](#) each year. But OBBBA is putting this vital assistance at risk for families across the state.

How OBBBA Harms SNAP—And the People Who Depend on It

OBBBA makes [the largest cut to SNAP in the program's history](#), slashing an estimated [\\$186 billion over the next 10 years](#) (about 20% of the program's total funding). To maintain SNAP participation rates, [Michigan will have to increase SNAP's share of the state budget by 205%](#). If the state can't fill this gap, an estimated **211,000 Michigan households will see their SNAP benefits cut by at least \$25 per month**; on average, these families will lose \$159 in monthly benefits—and some are at risk of losing benefits entirely.

Here's how OBBBA shifts costs to states, restricts eligibility, and increases administrative burdens in ways that will increase hunger and hardship for Michigan families:

- **OBBBA makes states pay more for SNAP.** Beginning this year, states will have to pay a higher share of the administrative costs of running the program—and starting in 2027, for the first time, [states will have to pay for a share of food benefits](#), too. Unless states like Michigan can find ways to raise millions in new revenues, they will be forced to offset these new costs by cutting other essential programs, restricting access to SNAP, or ending the SNAP program altogether.
- **OBBBA increases ineffective work reporting requirements that often force people off SNAP due to red tape, not ineligibility.** In the vast majority of SNAP households in Michigan, at least one person [already works](#). Even before OBBBA, SNAP rules required most non-disabled adults ages 18 to 54 to [prove that they were working at least 20 hours per week](#) to remain eligible for the program; caregivers for children under 18 and certain other groups were exempt.
 - OBBBA expands these work reporting requirements to include older adults up to age 64 and caregivers for children age 14 and older. It also removes prior exemptions for veterans, youth who have aged out of foster care, and people experiencing homelessness.
 - **Research consistently shows that these work reporting rules [do not increase employment or wages](#)**—they simply cause people to lose food assistance.
 - These policies disproportionately harm women, especially women of color, because [they take on the bulk of caregiving responsibilities in families](#) and often work in [low-paid jobs with unpredictable hours](#). Families may lose food assistance not because they are ineligible, but because they cannot navigate complicated administrative hurdles.
- **OBBBA eliminates SNAP eligibility for many lawfully present immigrants**, including refugees, people granted asylum, and certain survivors of domestic violence or sex trafficking.

Now, because of these cuts:

- **SNAP participation in Michigan [fell by 10% between July 2025 and April 2026](#)—and this number will only grow.**
- [Food pantries can't keep up with demand](#) as families lose the lifeline SNAP has provided.
- In Michigan, the Center for American Progress estimates that up to [1,000 avoidable deaths](#) could result by 2040 from the work requirement expansions alone, as more people lose assistance and go hungry.

Policymakers Must Restore and Protect SNAP

Michigan families cannot afford any more cuts. To stop further harm, Congress must—at minimum—[immediately delay the SNAP cost shifts to states](#) and resist any efforts to make even more cuts and restrictions. While Michigan's state lawmakers [should look to raise revenues from wealthy individuals and corporations](#) to fill SNAP funding gaps rather than cutting vital programs, our federal policymakers ultimately must reverse OBBBA's SNAP cuts and ensure that billionaires and corporations pay their fair share, so we can invest in women, families, and our communities.