# Chart of Changes to the Title IX Rules

This chart compares [Biden’s 2024 rule](https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2024-07915/) with the previous Title IX rules (including [Trump’s 2020 rule](https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2020-10512)). The new rule strengthens protections against sex-based harassment and clarifies protections for LGBTQI+ and pregnant and parenting students. **Major rule differences are bolded.**

## Part I. Sex-Based Harassment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Duty to Address Sex-Based Harassment** | | |
|  | **Trump Rule (2020)** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Definition of harassment** | Schools must address sexual harassment if it is so “**severe**” **and** **“pervasive**” that it “**effectively denies**” a person equal access to a school program or activity. *§ 106.30(a).* | Schools must address sex-based harassment if it is so “**severe** **or** **pervasive**” that it “**denies or limits**” a person’s ability to participate in a school program or activity. *§ 106.2.* |
| **Off-campus harassment** | Schools must addresssexual harassment that occurs off-campusandinside the U.S. if it occurs:   * In a school program or digital platform; * In an official student group’s building; or * Under the school’s “substantial control.” *§§ 106.44, 106.45(b)(3)(i).* | Schools must address sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** that occursoff-campusandinside the U.S. if it occurs:   * In a school program or digital platform; * In an official student group’s building; or * Under the school’s “disciplinary authority.”   **Regardless of where an underlying incident occurs (*e.g.*, off campus, outside the U.S.), schools must address any resulting hostile environment that arises:**   * In a school program or digital platform; * In an official student group’s building; or * Under the school’s “disciplinary authority.” *§ 106.11.* |
| **Complainant status** | Schools must address a complaint of sexual harassment only if the complainant was participating or trying to participate in school **at the time of** **filing the complaint**. *§ 106.30(a).* | Schools must address a complaint of sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** if the complainant was participating or trying to participate in school **at the time of** **the incident**. *§ 106.2.* |
| **Respondent status** | Schools can dismiss a complaint of sexual harassment at any time if the respondent is no longer a student or employee at the school. *§ 106.45(b)(3)(ii).* | Schools can still dismiss a complaint of sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** at any time if the respondent is no longer a student or employee at the school, **but they must also offer supportive measures.** *§§ 106.45(d)(1)(ii), 106.45(d)(4).* |
| **Notice of harassment** | K12 schools must respond to alleged sexual harassment if any employee has **actual knowledge** of it.  Institutions of higher education (IHEs) must respond to alleged sexual harassment if a Title IX coordinator or an official with “**authority to institute corrective measures**” has actual knowledge of it. *§ 106.30(a).* | All **non-confidential** K12 employees must **report** possiblesex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** to the Title IX coordinator.  All **non-confidential** IHE employees must report possible sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** to the Title IX coordinator **or** tell the victim how to contact the Title IX coordinator. *§§ 106.44(c)(1)-(2).* |
| **Responding to Sex-Based Harassment** | | |
|  | **Trump Rule (2020)** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Standard of care** | Schools must respond to sexual harassment in a way that is **not** “**deliberately indifferent**.” *§ 106.44(a).* | Schools must respond to sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** with “**prompt and effective action**." *§ 106.44(a).* |
| **Supportive measures** | Schools must offer supportive measures to all people who report sexual harassment, even if there is no investigation.  Supportive measures must be non-punitive and not unreasonably burdensome on the respondent. The school can reasonably burden the respondent. *§ 106.30(a).* | Schools must offer supportive measures to all people who report sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)**, even if there is no investigation and **even if the complaint is dismissed**.  Supportive measures must be non-punitive and not unreasonably burdensome on the respondent. The school can reasonably burden the respondent. §§ 106.2, 106.44(g)(2). |
| **Informal resolutions** | Schools can use an informal resolution process, such as mediation or a restorative process, to resolve a complaint of **student-on-student sexual harassment**. *§ 106.45(b)(9).* | Schools can use an informal resolution process, such as mediation or a restorative process, to resolve a complaint of **any** **sex discrimination, except employee-on-student sex-based harassment in a K-12 school**. *§ 106.44(k).* |
| **Retaliation** | Schools cannot retaliate against anyone to (i) interfere with their Title IX rights or (ii) punish them for their participation or lack thereof in a sex discrimination proceeding, including by:   * **Charging someone for misconduct that arises out of the same facts as the reported sex discrimination.** * Charging someone for a “false statement” based solely on the school’s decision in an investigation. *§ 106.71.* | Schools cannot retaliate against anyone to (i) interfere with their Title IX rights or (ii) punish them for their participation or lack thereof in a sex discrimination proceeding, including by:   * **Disciplining someone for any misconduct for the purpose of retaliation.** * Disciplining someone for making a “false statement” **or** **engaging in consensual sexual conduct** based solely on the school’s decision in an investigation. *§§ 106.2, 106.45(h)(5), 106.71.* |
| **Investigating Sex-Based Harassment** | | |
|  | **Trump Rule (2020)** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Time frame** | Schools must resolve complaints of sex discrimination in a “prompt” manner.  In investigations of sexual harassment, schools can impose “**temporary**” delays for “good cause,” including because there is a **concurrent criminal investigation**. *§§ 106.8(c),* 106.45(b)(1)(v). | Schools must resolve complaints of sex discrimination in a “prompt” manner.  In investigations of sex-based harassment **(or other** **sex discrimination)**,schools can impose “**reasonable**” delays for “good cause.” *§§ 106.8(b)(2), 106.45(a)(1), 106.45(b)(4), 106.46(a), 106.46(e)(5).* |
| **Presumption of non-responsibility** | Schools must presume the respondent is not responsible until the end of an investigation of sexual harassment. *§§ 106.45(b)(1)(iv), (b)(2)(i)(B).* | Schools must presume the respondent is not responsible until the end of an investigation of sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrim-ination)**.*§§ 106.45(b)(3), 106.46(c)(2)(i).* |
| **Questioning parties and witnesses** | In institutions of higher education’s (IHEs) following an investigation of **sexual harassment**, the school must allow the parties’ advisors toconductcross-examination at a live hearing.  **In K12 schools’ investigations of** **sexual harassment**, the school must allow the **parties to submit written questions** for the school to ask of the other party and witnesses. *§§ 106.45(b)(6)(i)-(ii).* | In IHEs, for complaints of **sex-based harassment involving 1+ students,** theschool must (1): **interview each party or witness in individual meeting(s)**; **or** (2) **have a decision-maker question all parties and witnesses at a live hearing, where the school has the option of also** allowing the parties’ advisors to conduct cross-examination.  **In all other investigations of** **sex discrimination** (besides the above), the school must use a process to **assess the credibility** of parties and witnesses. *§§ 106.45(g), 106.46(f)(1), 106.46(g).* |
| **Standard of proof** | Schools must use either a **“preponderance of the evidence” standard or “clear and convincing evidence” standard** in sexual harassment investigations, as long as the school uses the same standard for students and employees. *§ 106.45(b)(1)(vii).* | Schools must use a “**preponderance of the evidence**” **standard** in all investigations of sex-based harassment **(or other sex discrimination)** unless the school uses a “clear and convincing evidence” standard in all“comparable” proceedings (such as for race and disability discrimination or physical assault). *§ 106.45(h)(1).* |
| **Appeals** | In a **sexual harassment investigation**, the parties can appeal if there was a procedural irregularity, new evidence, or bias or conflict of interest that affected the outcome. *§ 106.45(b)(8)(i).* | **In all investigations of sex discrimination**: (i) the complainant can appeal a dismissal of their complaint, and (ii) the parties must have the same appeal rights as in all “comparable” proceedings (such as for race and disability discrimination or physical assault).  In an **institution of higher education’s investigation of sex-based harassment involving 1+ students**, the parties can also appeal if there was a procedural irregularity, new evidence, or bias or conflict of interest that would change the outcome.  *§§ 106.45(i), 106.46(i)(1).* |
| **Preventing Sex-Based Harassment** | | |
|  | **Trump Rule (2020)** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Training** | N/A. | Schools must train **all employees** on how to recognize and report sex discrimination. Additional training is required for all **Title IX officials**: coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, informal resolution facilitators, and those who can modify or terminate supportive measures. *§ 106.8(d).* |
| **Prevention & monitoring barriers to reporting** | N/A. | Schools must **prevent** sex discrimination from recurring (including when a complaint is dismissed) and **monitor** **and address barriers to reporting**. *§§ 106.44(b), 106.44(f)(1), 106.45(d)(4)(iii).* |

## Part II. Anti-LGBTQI+ Discrimination

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Previous Title IX Rules** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Definition of discrimination** | While the previous rules did not explicitly address it, courts have held for years that Title IX prohibits discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity. In 2020, the Supreme Court confirmed this is the case under Title VII in *Bostock v. Clayton County*.  The Department has stated that [intentional misgendering](https://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/us-department-educations-office-civil-rights-announces-resolution-sex-based-harassment-investigation-tamalpais-union-high-school-district) is sex-based harassment. | Sex discrimination includes discrimination based on **sexual orientation**, **gender identity**, **sex characteristics** (including intersex traits), **and sex stereotypes** under Title IX.  Schools must address anti-LGBTQI+ **harassment** (see **Part I**). *§§ 106.2, 106.10.* |
| **Transgender inclusion** | While the previous rules did not explicitly address transgender inclusion, federal courts have repeatedly held that Title IX prohibits exclusion of transgender students from school facilities. | Schools must allow individuals to participate in **classes and activities**,use **bathrooms and locker rooms**, and **dress and groom** themselvesconsistent with their gender identity. *§ 106.31(a)(2).* |
| **Athletics** | While the previous rules did not explicitly address this, a number of federal courts have held that Title IX prohibits excluding transgender students from sports consistent with their gender identity. | Note: This proposed rule is not yet final.  **Categorical anti-trans sports bans in schools would be prohibited. Anti-trans sports bans would also be prohibited in nearly all cases in K-8 and in most cases in high school**.  Any policy that limits or denies a transgender student’s participation in sports would have to:   * Be **specific** to a sport, grade level, or level of competition; * Be “**substantially related**” to an important educational objective; and * **Minimize harm** to transgender students.   Schools could not justify an anti-trans sports ban based on **overbroad generalizations** or **false assumptions**. *§ 106.41(b)(2).* |

## Part III. Discrimination against Pregnant and Parenting Students

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Previous Title IX Rules** | **Biden Rule (2024)** |
| **Definition of discrimination** | Schools cannot discriminate against students based on pregnancy or related conditions. Related conditions include childbirth, termination of pregnancy, and recovery from any of these conditions. *§ 106.40(b)(1).* | Schools cannot discriminate against students based on **past, current, or potential** pregnancy or related conditions. Related conditions include childbirth, termination of pregnancy, **lactation**, and **medical conditions** or recovery related to any of these conditions.  Schools must address pregnancy or related **harassment** (see **Part I**). *§§ 106.2, 106.10.* |
| **Notice of rights** | N/A. | An **employee** who knows of a student’s pregnancy or related condition must inform them of the Title IX coordinator’s role and contact information. The **Title IX coordinator** must then inform the student of their rights. §§ *106.40(b)(2), 106.40(b)(3)(i).* |
| **Participation and exclusion** | A **pregnant** student can participate in an alternate program if it is voluntary, and the program is comparable to those offered to their peers.  A school cannot require a student who is pregnant or has a related condition to get a **doctor’s** approval to participate in a school program or activity unless it is required of **students with** **other physical or emotional conditions**. *§§ 106.40(b)(1), 106.40(b)(3).* | A student who is **pregnant or has a related condition** may participate in an alternate program if it is voluntary, and the program is comparable to those offered to their peers.  A school cannot require a student who is pregnant or has a related condition to get approval from a **healthcare provider or anyone else** to participate in a school program or activity unless it is required of **all students**.*§§ 106.40(b)(3)(iii), 106.40(b)(5).* |
| **Leaves of absence** | Schools must allow a leave of absence for pregnancy or related conditions for as long as a student’s **doctor** deems medically necessary.  Upon return, the student must be reinstated to their **prior status**. *§ 106.40(b)(5).* | Schools must allow a **voluntary** leave of absence for pregnancy or related conditions for **at least** as long as a student’s **healthcare provider** deems medically necessary.  Upon return, the student must be reinstated to their **prior academic status and,** **where practicable, prior extracurricular status**. *§ 106.40(b)(3)(iv).* |
| **Accommo-dations** | Schools must offer **services and benefits** to students who are pregnant or have a related condition **if they are offered to** **temporarily disabled students**. *§ 106.40(b)(4).*  While the previous rules did not explicitly address it, a [2013 guidance](https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/pregnancy.pdf) stated that reasonable modifications include **elevator access**, **a larger desk**, or **more frequent trips to the bathroom**. | Schools must **consult** with a student who is pregnant or has a related condition to offer **individualized and voluntary** “**reasonable modifications**” unless this would“**fundament**-**tally alter**” **the school’s program or activity**.  Reasonable modifications include **elevator access**, **a larger desk**, **a** **footrest**, **breaks from class**, **absences**, **online courses**, **schedule changes**, **extensions**, **rescheduled exams**,and **counseling**. *§ 106.40(b)(3)(ii).* |
| **Lactation** | While the previous rules did not explicitly address it, a [2013 guidance](https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/pregnancy.pdf) stated schools **should** provide a lactation room for students. | Schools **must** provide a **private**, **clean,** **non-bathroom** lactationspace for students. *§ 106.40(b)(3)(v).* |
| **Limitation on document-ation** | N/A. | Students who are pregnant or have a related condition need not submit **documentation** to get a modification, leave of absence, alternate program, or lactation space if: their need is obvious or is water, a bigger desk, sitting or standing, breaks, or lactation; prior document-ation was sufficient; or documentation is not required of other students. *§ 106.40(b)(3)(vi).* |
| **Parental, family, or marital status** | Schools cannot apply a **rule** about a **student's** **actual or potential** parental, family, or marital status that treats them differently based on gender. *§ 106.40(a).* | Schools cannot apply a **policy, practice, or procedure** about a **student or applicant's** **past**, **current**, or **potential** parental, family, or marital status that treats them differently based on gender. *§§ 106.21(c)(2)(i), 106.40(a).* |