Re: RIN 1235-AA39, Comments in Support of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Defining and Delimiting the Exemptions for Executive, Administrative, Professional, Outside Sales, and Computer Employees

Dear Ms. DeBisschop:

As members of a broad coalition of organizations that promote economic security and equality for women in the workplace, we write to express our support for the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Wage and Hour Division's (WHD's) proposed rule increasing the salary threshold for determining whether an employee is exempt from overtime pay protections under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).\(^1\) We support this rule because it will extend the FLSA's overtime protections to millions of lower-paid workers who have been considered exempt under the outdated current threshold, and create a much-needed mechanism for updating the threshold to keep pace with increasing prices and wages over the years. This rule will be highly beneficial for working women—particularly women of color—and their families, and we urge WHD to finalize and implement the rule without delay.

The salary threshold that DOL has established under the FLSA's exemption for executive, administrative, and professional (EAP) employees is intended to ensure that an employee cannot be deprived of overtime protections if their employer does not pay a salary that is indicative of “bona fide” EAP status. But under the current threshold, salaried workers are only automatically eligible for overtime pay if they make less than $35,568 per year—only a few thousand dollars above the federal poverty level for a family of four.\(^2\) This makes it all too easy for employers to grant an employee a promotion—often in name only—to “manager” with a salary as low as $36,000, then require them to work 60- or 70-hour weeks with no guarantee of overtime pay.

The current salary threshold, established under the Trump Administration in 2019, was inadequate when it was issued and is even more so today, given the substantial wage growth and inflation that have occurred in the intervening years. The proposed rule would update that salary level to $55,068, or $1,059 per week. An estimated 3.6 million workers would benefit from this rule—workers who make between $35,568 and $55,068 and will now have stronger overtime protections, as well as workers who will have their salaries increased to meet the new

\(^1\) 88 Fed. Reg. 62152 (Sept. 8, 2023).
\(^2\) The poverty threshold for a family with two adults and two children in 2022 was $29,678. Poverty Thresholds by Size of Family and Number of Children, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU (last updated Sept. 12, 2023), https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/historical-poverty-thresholds.html.
threshold. Just over 2 million of these workers are women, representing roughly 57% of all impacted workers, including 700,000 women of color.

Raising the overtime threshold is deeply important for the economic well-being of women and their families. Women, especially women of color, are disproportionately likely to hold lower paying jobs as a result of longstanding, systemic gender and racial injustice. The share of women who serve as the sole or co-breadwinners in their household has steadily risen over the past several decades, meaning that their families will also benefit from the increased economic security that comes from higher pay when they work longer hours.

The proposal would also help women by reinforcing the value of the 40-hour workweek. Because women are more likely to serve as unpaid caregivers for family members, being forced to work long hours without overtime deprives them of the time they have available to meet those caregiving responsibilities, without even the benefit of the higher wage rate that the FLSA’s overtime protections mandate. In addition, research indicates that working excessive hours contributes to occupational segregation: because women have more demands on their time than men due to family responsibilities, they are more likely to have to leave jobs in male-dominated fields—and even exit the workforce entirely—when they have to work 50 hours or more per week.

For some newly covered workers, overtime protection will mean hundreds of dollars in additional pay each week; for others, it will mean more time outside of work to spend with their families. Moreover, as some employers shift schedules to minimize overtime costs, employees who had been involuntarily working part-time—or working fewer part-time hours than they would like—may gain the additional hours they want and need. Women are far more likely than men to work part time, but research shows that many of these part-time employees would prefer to work more hours, especially in low-paying service sector positions. The current overtime salary threshold enables employers to assign additional work to their overtime-exempt employees at

---

no additional cost; by shrinking the pool of exempt employees, the proposed rule can incentivize
employers to offer more hours to part-time staff rather than requiring their full-time employees to
work overtime.

The proposed rule’s provision to automatically raise the EAP exemption salary threshold every
three years will also help ensure that overtime protections keep pace with wage increases and
inflation. The index will be tied to the 35th percentile of earnings in the lowest-wage Census
Region in the country, making it a modest and reasonable adjustment. Low-income households
report experiencing the worst financial hardship from inflation, likely because they have fewer
options available for cutting costs or preserving their money’s value.9 Given the persistent wage
gaps that women, especially women of color, continue to face year after year,10 it is likely that
women experience the pain of inflation more acutely. Ensuring that overtime eligibility keeps up
with wage growth is an imperative for women.

Finally, while the proposed rule will meaningfully benefit millions of working people across the
country, one large group of professional employees will be excluded from those benefits:
teachers. Women are far more likely than men to work as teachers, and they typically are paid
far less than the other professionals—doctors and lawyers—who are categorically excluded
from overtime pay. For example, 97% of preschool and kindergarten teachers are women,11 and
their average salary is $44,540 per year,12 over $5,500 below the proposed salary threshold.
While salaries are typically higher for elementary and secondary school teachers, data from the
National Education Association show that starting salaries are usually well below the proposed
threshold: the average starting salary of teachers nationwide in 2021-2022 was just $42,845.13
In addition to women teachers, young teachers, teachers of color, and adjuncts would be
particularly likely to gain overtime protections if the exclusion were lifted.14 Amending the
regulations to require that teachers’ salaries must meet the salary test for them to be exempt
from FLSA overtime rules is necessary to address gender and racial pay disparities and to help
attract and retain more people to this essential profession.

---

9 Jeff Horwich, The Widely Varied Pain of Inflation, FED. RESERVE BANK MINNEAPOLIS (Feb. 2022),
10 See, e.g., America’s Women and the Wage Gap, NAT’L PARTNERSHIP FOR WOMEN & FAMILIES (Sept. 2023),
LePage & Jasmine Tucker, A Window Into the Wage Gap: What’s Behind It and How to Close It, NAT’L
1.10.23v2.pdf
Persons by Detailed Occupation, Sex, Race, and Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity, 2022, U.S. DEP’T OF LABOR,
14 See John Schmitt, Heidi Shierholz & Jori Kandra, Expanding Overtime Protection for Teachers Under the
Fair Labor Standards Act, ECON. POLICY INST. (Nov. 2021), https://www.epi.org/publication/expanding-overtime-
protection-for-teachers-under-the-fair-labor-standards-act/.
* * *

We applaud WHD for proposing a rule that will help millions of low and moderately paid workers—especially women—achieve economic security. We urge you to finalize the rule without delay, and to take up new rulemaking to end the teacher exemption as soon as possible. Working women cannot afford to wait.

Sincerely,
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