March 31, 2022

United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Re: Letter in Support of Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson for the United States Supreme Court

Dear Senator:

On behalf of the National Women’s Law Center (the “Law Center”) and the 60 undersigned organizations advocating for gender justice in the courts, in public policy, and in society, we write to express our strong support for the swift confirmation of Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson to the United States Supreme Court.

Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson is one of our nation’s brightest legal minds, a dedicated public servant, and seasoned legal practitioner with extensive experience across the legal profession as a federal appellate judge, federal district court judge, a member of the U.S. Sentencing Commission, and as a federal public defender protecting equal justice for all and constitutional rights. She will make an extraordinary addition to the Supreme Court.¹ Throughout her career, Judge Jackson has evinced a deep understanding of the laws of our country, particularly their impact on the lives of women, girls, people of color, and LGBTQ+ people. With her credentials and commitment to the rule of law, it is no surprise that the United States Senate confirmed her with bipartisan support on three prior occasions, including two judgeships. Furthermore, her nomination is an important step in addressing the generations of bias, racism, and under-representation that have kept Black women jurists from interpreting the laws that often impact them the most.

It is impossible to overstate how eminently qualified Judge Jackson is to serve on the Supreme Court. Currently serving with distinction on the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, Judge Jackson has nearly ten years of federal judicial experience. Judge Jackson is a highly respected jurist who ruled on over 550 cases during her 8-year tenure on the District Court for the District of Columbia.² Every one of Judge Jackson’s rulings demonstrates her careful consideration of the law and the facts of each case, including ones that touched on issues relating to reproductive health care and education, labor rights, and disability rights. For example, in *Healthy Futures of Texas v. HHS* and *Policy and Research v. HHS*, Judge Jackson blocked the federal government’s unlawful attempts to terminate teen pregnancy prevention funding for non-profits providing essential health education.³ Judge Jackson also protected the rights of people

---

¹ Ketanji Brown Jackson, Senate Judiciary Committee Questionnaire, [Jackson Public SJQ.pdf](http://senate.gov)
² Ketanji Brown Jackson, Senate Judiciary Committee Questionnaire, [Jackson Public SJQ.pdf](http://senate.gov)
with disabilities, including people who were systematically discriminated against by the ride-sharing company Uber, as well as an incarcerated deaf person who was denied necessary accommodations by the D.C. Department of Corrections.\(^4\) In addition, while serving as a district court judge she protected federal employees’ right to collective bargaining in *American Federation of Government Employees v. Trump*,\(^5\) and as a D.C. Circuit judge she has protected collective bargaining for unions in *American Federation of Government Employees v. Federal Labor Relations Authority*.\(^6\) Given her record safeguarding civil rights, it is unsurprising that Judge Jackson has a reputation as a fair and impartial judge who is committed to equal justice for all and the rule of law.

Judge Jackson’s extensive experience as a practicing attorney before serving as a judge also evinces a deep understanding of the impact of laws and the Constitution on women, girls, and people of color. As an associate at Goodwin Procter, she helped draft an amicus brief on behalf of a range of Massachusetts-based groups, including religious organizations, health care provider associations, the Women’s Bar Association of Massachusetts, YWCA of Cambridge, and Big Sister Association of Greater Boston, among others. The brief defended the constitutionality of a state law aimed at protecting health care providers and people who seek abortion care from harassment, violence, and unwanted contact from anti-abortion demonstrators.\(^7\) The amicus brief carefully and thoroughly applied existing Supreme Court precedent to the Massachusetts law, clearly demonstrating that the law was constitutional. In a unanimous opinion written by a Republican-appointed judge, the First Circuit upheld the law, agreeing with the arguments in the *amicus* brief.\(^8\) The Supreme Court ultimately declined review in the case.\(^9\) As Assistant Special
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\(^6\) 25 F.4th 1 (D.C. Cir. 2022). The Federal Labor Relations Authority (“FLRA”) under former Pres. Trump sought to require collective bargaining only for workplace changes that have a substantial impact on employment, instead of the previous standard which required that all changes, except those considered de minimis, required collective bargaining. Judge Jackson held that the FLRA’s actions were arbitrary and capricious, and that it could not change its collective bargaining standards to decrease the types of workplace changes which would trigger collective bargaining.


\(^8\) *McGuire v. Reilly*, 260 F.3d 36 (1st Cir. 2001).

\(^9\) The First Circuit’s decision reversed and remanded the case back to the lower court. After further proceedings at the district court level, the case again reached the First Circuit, in 2004. The First Circuit allowed the law to remain
Counsel and later in her career as Vice Chair of the U.S. Sentencing Commission, Judge Jackson worked on bipartisan reforms to the federal sentencing guidelines to remedy disproportionately harsh sentences against Black and brown people. Following her time as Assistant Special Counsel on the U.S. Sentencing Commission, Judge Jackson spent two years working as an Assistant Federal Defender, where she represented indigent criminal appellants before the D.C. Circuit. Judge Jackson follows in the footsteps of Justice Thurgood Marshall, who also had extensive criminal defense experience. Since Justice Marshall’s retirement 30 years ago, the Court has lacked this vital criminal defense perspective and, as a Justice, Judge Jackson will bring the invaluable first-hand knowledge of how the law impacts those who have the least among us.

Importantly, Judge Jackson’s personal and professional background would bring much needed diversity to this nation’s highest court, which has historically failed to reflect the rich diversity of the United States. Diversity on the Supreme Court is essential to ensuring that the Court reflects and promotes the interests of all Americans, not just the wealthy and powerful. If confirmed, Judge Jackson would be the first Supreme Court Justice to have served as a federal public defender and the first Black woman to serve on the Court. A 2020 report found that women make up only 34 percent of the federal judiciary, and women of color a mere 7 percent. We cannot overlook the fact that since the Supreme Court’s first meeting in 1789, only 5 of the 115 Justices to serve on the Court have been women and only 1 has been a woman of color. The Supreme Court needs a thoughtful, dedicated, and experienced jurist like Judge Jackson who will protect the rights of everyone while also bringing much needed personal and professional diversity to the bench. The American people deserve a Supreme Court that reflects the rich diversity which makes this nation so great.

Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson’s most recent bipartisan confirmation to the D.C. Circuit last year and two prior bipartisan confirmations indicate the Senate trusts and respects her extensive experience and commitment to equal justice under law. The Law Center and the undersigned gender justice organizations respectfully urge the Senate to swiftly confirm Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson to the Supreme Court. Please feel free to contact Erin Martin, Director of Nominations and Cross-Cutting Policies, at erinnmartin@nwlc.org should you have any questions.
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Sincerely,

The National Women’s Law Center
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