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Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on behalf of the National Women’s Law Center (NWLC) in opposition to H.B. 1217. NWLC is one of the leading national organizations that has been working since Congress passed Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 to protect students’ rights to learn and participate in athletics free from sex-based discrimination. NWLC has participated in all major Title IX cases before the Supreme Court as counsel or amicus and routinely files amicus briefs in Title IX cases in support of transgender students who experience sex-based discrimination. We believe that ending all forms of sex-based discrimination and harassment is crucial to protecting the opportunities of all students in education and for the rest of their lives.

We strongly urge you to oppose H.B. 1217, which would require all students in kindergarten through graduate school to submit documentation every year regarding their sex assigned “at or before birth, in accordance with the student's genetics and reproductive biology” in order to play on intramural and varsity sex-separated sports teams.¹ This bill would exacerbate the already high rates of sex discrimination, including sexual assault, that transgender students face in school. Further, by imposing a wholesale ban on girls and women who are transgender from participating in athletics consistent with their gender identity, H.B. 1217 would force schools to violate both the U.S. Constitution and Title IX, which would, in turn, jeopardize South Dakota’s federal funding. Transgender students already live and go to school in South Dakota, where the current trans-inclusive polices have benefited all students, including cisgender girls and women. Yet H.B. 1217 would not only exclude transgender students from sports but would also harm cisgender girls and women, particularly Black and brown girls and women. There are numerous problems of sex discrimination harming girls and women in sports, but banning transgender girls and women would not solve any of them. Our testimony echoes the position of many other women’s rights organizations that

¹ H.B. 1217, §§ 1, 2(2), 96th Leg., (S.D. 2021).
overwhelmingly support full inclusion of transgender students in athletics. We urge you to reject this dangerous bill.

1. **Transgender students face alarmingly high rates of sex discrimination, including physical and sexual assault.**

   Transgender students suffer exceedingly high rates of sex-based harassment and other forms of sex discrimination in schools. According to a 2015 study of more than 27,000 transgender adults, 77 percent of those who were out or perceived as transgender while in K-12 schools faced some form of mistreatment in school due to their gender identity—54 percent were verbally harassed, 24 percent were physically attacked, and 13 percent were sexually assaulted.² For K-12 students who are both transgender and Native,³ anti-mistreatment jumped to an alarming 92 percent, including 69 percent who were verbally abused, 49 percent who were physically attacked, and 22 percent who were sexually assaulted because of their gender identity.⁴ In higher education, 23 percent of transgender and nonbinary students are sexually assaulted during their time in college.⁵

   Anti-transgender victimization in schools has devastating effects on transgender students’ safety and wellbeing. Among transgender adults who were out or perceived as transgender in K-12 school, 17 percent of them—and 39 percent of those who are Native—left at least one school because of the anti-transgender mistreatment they faced.⁶ These experiences often had life-threatening consequences: for example, more than 52 percent of transgender adults who faced anti-transgender mistreatment in K-12 education had attempted suicide at least once by the time they took the survey, compared to 37 percent of transgender adults who had not been mistreated in K-12 school and 4.6 percent of their cisgender peers.⁷ (Importantly, the survey did not capture the experiences of the many transgender students who died by suicide.)

---


⁶ USTS Report supra note 2, at 12; USTS Native Report, supra note 4, at 12.

⁷ USTS Report supra note 2, at 132.
2. **H.B. 1217 would further deprive transgender students of educational access and could place them at greater risk of sexual assault.**

H.B. 1217 would exclude many transgender students in South Dakota from athletics altogether, depriving them of the educational benefits of sports. Students who play sports are more likely to graduate from high school, score higher on standardized tests, and have higher grades.\(^8\) They are also more likely to have higher levels of confidence, more positive body image, greater psychological well-being, and lower levels of depression.\(^9\) H.B. 1217 would exacerbate educational inequity for transgender students—who are already subjected to myriad forms of sex-based discrimination that negatively affect their educational outcomes—by barring them from school sports.

Furthermore, if H.B. 1217 were interpreted to also relegate transgender student athletes to locker rooms that do not match their gender identity, this bill could place transgender students in South Dakota at greater risk of sexual assault. In a recent study of more than 3,600 transgender and nonbinary students in grades 7-12 published by the American Academy of Pediatrics, students who were banned from using locker rooms and restrooms that matched their gender identity were significantly more likely to have been sexually assaulted during the previous 12 months.\(^10\) This increased risk of sexual assault was observed among transgender boys (1.3 times higher), transgender girls (2.5 times higher), and nonbinary adolescents who were designated female at birth (1.4 times higher).\(^11\) If H.B. 1217 is interpreted as requiring transgender student athletes to use locker rooms inconsistent with their gender identity, it could further endanger transgender students.

3. **H.B. 1217 would require schools to violate the Constitution and Title IX, thereby jeopardizing South Dakota’s federal funding.**

H.B. 1217 would give South Dakota’s school districts and institutions of higher education an unpalatable choice: comply with state law or federal law.

Schools would be forced to violate the Constitution in order to comply with H.B. 1217. An Idaho bill similar to H.B. 1217 has already been found to likely violate the

---

\(^8\) In fact, a statewide, three-year study in North Carolina found that student athletes had grade point averages that were nearly a full point higher than their non-athlete peers. National Coalition for Women and Girls in Education, *Title IX at 45: Advancing Opportunity through Equity in Education* 41-42 (2017), available at https://www.ncwge.org/index.html.

\(^9\) Id. at 41.


\(^11\) Id. at 5.
Equal Protection Clause. In March 2020, Idaho passed H.B. 500, the first state law in the country to impose a ban on all transgender girls and women from participating in sports consistent with their gender identity. Two students immediately sued to reverse the state law—Jane Doe, a 17-year-old cisgender girl in high school, and Lindsay Hecox, a transgender woman in college. An Idaho court reached “the inescapable conclusion that the Act discriminates on the basis of transgender status” and thus triggered heightened equal protection scrutiny under the Constitution. The court then issued a preliminary injunction against the anti-transgender ban, noting “the absence of any empirical evidence that sex inequality or access to athletic opportunities are threatened by transgender women athletes” and instead finding “compelling evidence that equality in sports is not jeopardized” by Idaho’s previous standard. The court concluded that Idaho had “not identified a legitimate interest … other than an invalid interest of excluding transgender women and girls from women’s sports entirely.”

South Dakota’s H.B. 1217, which would impose a similar ban on transgender girls and women, is also unlikely to survive a legal challenge.

H.B. 1217 would also violate Title IX. Nearly 50 years ago, Congress enacted Title IX to prohibit all forms of sex discrimination in education programs and activities. For decades, courts have affirmed that discrimination on the basis of gender identity and transgender status is a form of sex discrimination, including when it occurs in gender-separated education programs. In 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court confirmed in Bostock v. Clayton County that discrimination based on gender identity “necessarily entails discrimination based on sex; the first cannot happen without the second.” Within months of the Supreme Court’s decision, two federal appellate courts applied Bostock’s reasoning to reject school policies in Virginia and Florida that prohibited

\[12\] H.B. 500, 65th Leg., 2nd Reg. Sess. (Id. 2020).
\[15\] Id. at 979 (emphasis in original).
\[16\] Id. at 984-85.
\[17\] 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a). Although Title IX’s implementing regulations allow schools to maintain sex-separated athletics teams for competitive and contact sports, this does not mean that student athletics are exempt from Title IX. 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(b). Nor do the regulations specify that students of any gender may—or must—participate on a specific team. Id. at § 106.41(a).
transgender students from using sex-separated locker rooms and restrooms matching their gender identity, finding that these policies violated Title IX.\textsuperscript{20}

The Biden-Harris administration has made clear that it intends to enforce Title IX consistent with the Supreme Court’s holding in \textit{Bostock}.\textsuperscript{21} This means that if H.B. 1217 were to be enacted, South Dakota would likely face not only litigation by private parties but also by the federal government. Violating Title IX would not only cost the state substantially in litigation costs but would also put the state’s federal education funding at risk. In FY 2021, South Dakota will receive an estimated total of $744 million from the U.S. Department of Education in the form of formula grants and federally supported loans and may receive additional funding in the form of competitive grants.\textsuperscript{22}

4. South Dakota—and many other states—already have trans-inclusive athletics policies, which have benefited both cisgender and transgender girls.

South Dakota’s current athletics policies already ensure equal opportunity for all girls and women, including transgender girls and women. Since 2015, the South Dakota High School Activities Association (SDHSAA) has allowed all students to play on sports teams consistent with their gender identity, regardless of the gender listed in their student records.\textsuperscript{23} In the past six years since this policy was adopted, there has been no dominance by transgender athletes or threat to girls’ sports in South Dakota.

South Dakota’s trans-inclusive policy for K-12 students is not unique. Athletics associations in nineteen states and the District of Columbia have adopted trans-inclusive policies that allow student athletes in K-12 schools to play on teams consistent with their gender identity.\textsuperscript{24} Furthermore, fifteen states and the District of Columbia have passed laws protecting transgender students’ rights to pursue an education free from discrimination, including in school sports.\textsuperscript{25} In the past 13 years since these laws and association policies were adopted, there has been no categorical dominance by

\textsuperscript{25} Id. at 1.
transgender athletes or threat to girls’ sports in these states—despite hundreds, if not thousands, of transgender girls competing in girls’ sports.\textsuperscript{26}

Yet H.B. 1217 would impose an extremist ban on transgender girls as young as 5—far more restrictive than even the strictest rules that govern the most elite levels of sports around the world. In many elite post-season high school competitions, transgender girls are allowed to play on girls’ teams after undergoing some testosterone suppression.\textsuperscript{27} Since 2011, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) has allowed transgender women to compete in women’s sports after taking gender-affirming hormones for one year.\textsuperscript{28} Other than the state of Idaho, whose anti-transgender ban was almost immediately enjoined in court, no other state, national, or international athletic body has implemented an outright ban on transgender individuals competing in athletics consistent with their gender identity. Even at the Olympic level (which is outside the scope of H.B. 1217), transgender athletes have been allowed to compete since 2004 with restrictions that are quite onerous, yet still less extreme than the outright ban proposed in H.B. 1217.\textsuperscript{29} There has been no categorical dominance by transgender girls or women at any of these levels of competition. In fact, no transgender athlete has medaled to date at the Olympics, and the only U.S. transgender athlete ever selected for the national team in a World Championship was Chris Mosier, a transgender man.\textsuperscript{30} No transgender woman has ever even qualified for the Olympics.\textsuperscript{31}

Furthermore, a 2021 study found that in states with trans-inclusive athletics policies, girls’ overall participation in high school sports either increased or remained the same after those policies were enacted—even in states where boys’ participation declined during the same period.\textsuperscript{32} In contrast, girls’ overall participation in high school sports has declined over the past decade in states with trans-exclusionary policies.\textsuperscript{33}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[30] CAP Report, supra note 26, at 17.
\item[32] CAP Report, supra note 26, at 15-16.
\item[33] \textit{Id.} at 14-15.
\end{footnotes}
In other words, H.B. 1217 is a false solution in search of a nonexistent problem.

5. **H.B. 1217 will lead to more discrimination against cis, trans, nonbinary, and intersex girls and women, especially Black and brown girls and women.**

H.B. 1217 relies on overbroad stereotypes about transgender girls’ and women’s bodies. All athletes’ bodies are different, and these differences can be advantageous or disadvantageous depending on the sport. For example, professional gymnast Simone Biles is 4 feet, 8 inches tall, and professional basketball player Brittney Griner is 6 feet, 9 inches tall.34 Both athletes, who are cisgender, have achieved great success, including Olympic gold medals, in part because of their respective heights.35 Similarly, transgender athletes do not have a single body type, and their bodies do not automatically confer absolute advantages over cisgender athletes. In fact, many transgender athletes, especially transgender children, have physiological traits that are more typical of their gender identity than their assigned sex at birth. This means that many transgender girls have more physiological traits in common with cisgender girls than cisgender boys.36 As a result, any data purporting to compare the athletic abilities of cisgender girls and cisgender boys simply does not apply to most transgender youth.

Overreliance on stereotypes about girls and women who are transgender also harms cisgender girls and women, as well as nonbinary and intersex student athletes. H.B. 1217 would allow a school or athletics association to remove any student from sports participation for the rest of the school year if it has “reasonable cause” to believe the student has submitted “false or misleading” documentation about their sex assigned “at or before birth, in accordance with the student’s genetics and reproductive biology.”37 This provision would harm cisgender girls and women who fall outside stereotypical notions of femininity, including those who are very tall or muscular, have short hair, wear masculine clothing, or otherwise choose to present in more traditionally masculine ways. It would also harm low-income students, who are less able to afford the cost of obtaining a birth certificate or other equivalent documentation about their sex assigned “at or before birth.”38 Similarly, H.B. 1217 would categorically exclude many nonbinary

---


35 Team USA, supra note 34; WNBA, supra note 34.

36 See, e.g., Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 980 (finding that “there is a population of transgender girls who, as a result of puberty blockers at the start of puberty and gender affirming hormone therapy afterward, never go through a typical male puberty at all”).


students whose gender identities are neither male nor female. And the bill would exclude many intersex students, who are born with physical traits that do not conform to binary notions of "male" or "female" bodies and whose sex assigned at birth may be different from the gender identity they have known and lived their whole lives.

Black and brown girls and women—who are routinely targeted for not conforming to society’s expectations of white femininity—would be especially vulnerable to the gender scrutiny invited by H.B. 1217. For example, Serena Williams, a cisgender Black woman, has faced decades of dehumanization, including invasive questions about her gender. Gender- and race-based stereotypes, which frequently impute a greater perception of culpability onto Black women, have also caused Williams to be subjected to “randomized” drug-testing at a much higher rate than her white peers. In 2018, Williams was even docked a full game point in 2018 for expressing frustration in an insufficiently “feminine” manner. H.B. 1217 would allow school districts, universities, and athletics associations to become the sole arbiters of who is “sufficiently” a girl or woman, thereby perpetuating harmful racist and sexist stereotypes that routinely deprive Black and brown girls and women of equal athletic opportunities.

6. H.B. 1217 does nothing to address the many real and urgent problems of sex discrimination in athletics.

Girls and women face numerous actual gender disparities in school sports that H.B. 1217 fails to address. In high school, girls receive over 1 million fewer opportunities than boys to participate in sports, and in college, women receive almost 60,000 fewer athletics opportunities than men. Girls’ and women’s teams across the country are given second-class treatment by their schools when it comes to facilities, equipment, travel, and other benefits and services, and they have been hit especially hard by the COVID-19 pandemic. Girls of color also receive far fewer chances to play on school

39 Lydia Slater, Queen Serena: The power and the glory, HARPERS BAZAAR (May 30, 2018), https://www.harpersbazaar.com/uk/fashion/fashion-news/a20961002/serena-williams-july-issue-cover-shoot ("People would say I was born a guy, all because of my arms, or because I’m strong").


sports teams than boys and white girls.\textsuperscript{44} And as brought to light in high-profile cases like Larry Nassar’s, student athletes of all ages and genders face high levels of gender-based verbal harassment and sexual abuse, at the hands of their teammates, coaches, and physicians.\textsuperscript{45}

Banning transgender girls and women from school sports solves none of these urgent problems of sex discrimination. In fact, as mentioned above, anti-transgender bans have been linked to lower athletics participation by cisgender girls and women and higher rates of sexual assault of transgender girls and women.\textsuperscript{46} H.B. 1217 claims to protect girls and women in sports, but it does the exact opposite by using “fairness in women’s sports” as a cudgel against transgender girls and women—while inadvertently harming cisgender girls and women as well. South Dakota legislators should not let this bill distract them from taking meaningful steps to close the gender and racial disparities in athletics and protect student athletes from sexual abuse.

7. Women’s rights organizations overwhelmingly support full inclusion of transgender students in school sports.

In recent years, women’s rights organizations and elite athletes have repeatedly voiced their unequivocal support of transgender inclusion in athletics. In April 2019, 23 national women’s rights and gender justice organizations issued a public letter in support of “Full and Equal Access to Participation in Athletics for Transgender People,” including the National Organization for Women, Women Leaders in College Sports, and Women’s Sports Foundation.\textsuperscript{47} In December 2020, Billie Jean King, Megan Rapinoe, and Candace Parker joined nearly 200 athletes in an amicus brief opposing the Idaho anti-transgender sports ban.\textsuperscript{48} In February 2021, the National Coalition for Women and Girls in Education—which includes organizations like American Association of University Women (AAUW), Girls Inc., and YWCA USA—issued a statement


\textsuperscript{46} See supra notes 8-11, 32-33 and accompanying text.


announcing the coalition’s support of transgender and nonbinary students’ “full and equal access to sex-separated activities and facilities consistent with their gender identity, including athletics teams.”

The National Women’s Law Center supports the full inclusion of transgender girls and women in sports because we recognize, as courts and scientists overwhelmingly have stated, that transgender girls and women are girls and women. Youth who are transgender join sports for the same reasons that all young people do: to have fun, challenge themselves, and be part of a team where they feel included and accepted. And in the handful of instances where a transgender girl or woman is successful in her sport, we celebrate her victory as a victory for all girls and women.

* * *

A few years ago, anti-transgender bills were focused on the supposed threat of transgender girls and women in restrooms. Now they are focused on the supposed threat of transgender girls and women in athletics. None of the threats fearmongered by supporters of the restroom bills came to pass because transgender girls and women use restrooms for the same reason as everyone else: to go to the restroom and go about their day. Likewise, none of the purported threats to girls and women’s athletics posed by transgender inclusion are rooted in reality.

Students who are transgender deserve the chance to succeed and thrive like any other student. We urge you to vote no on H.B. 1217.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Tang
Counsel for Education and Workplace Justice
National Women’s Law Center

49 National Coalition for Women and Girls in Education, NCWGE Supports Transgender and Nonbinary Students’ Full and Equal Participation in All Education Programs and Activities (Feb. 12, 2021), https://www.ncwge.org/activities.html.