July 11th, 2019

Dear Chair Dhillon:

The undersigned organizations, dedicated to defending civil rights and ensuring workplace fairness, are alarmed by recent news reports indicating that the Commission is considering revoking the delegation of litigation authority that currently resides with the General Counsel of the EEOC. This action would require all litigation, even non-controversial individual cases, to be voted on by the Commission in order to proceed. Employer-side groups have made no secret of the fact that revoking the delegation is on their wish list. They see this as a chance to get yet another opportunity to slow down or stop enforcement. Disturbingly, it appears that this change may happen behind closed doors without the opportunity for public to comment. We oppose this action and urge the Commission not to take this step. At the very least, the EEOC should hear from all stakeholders before taking such a step.

The General Counsel has had delegated litigation authority for many years, regardless of which party is in the majority at the Commission, while the Commission retains the authority to vote on larger cases and cases posing novel issues. Delegated authority allows individual cases to move more rapidly through the EEOC’s system. This change in delegation would mean that all cases, regardless of the size, would have to go through the same, time consuming, burdensome procedure of the larger cases. We oppose this for three main reasons.

First, revoking the delegation would delay enforcement. The harm this would cause is highlighted by the work at the TIME’S UP Legal Defense Fund, which is housed and administered by the National Women’s Law Center Fund, LLC. Every day, the TIME’S UP Legal Defense Fund hears from workers who face sex discrimination, including egregious sexual harassment, at work. It is difficult enough for workers to come forward at all. Making workers wait for vote by political appointees on their personal case after they have already gone through all the required EEOC procedures is unconscionable.

Second, requiring Commission approval will deprive local offices, run by non-political civil servants, the opportunity to respond to local, on-the-ground conditions. These offices are the experts on the needs of their local communities; these decisions should not be delayed or countermanded.

Third, requiring Commission votes on all cases runs the risk of politicizing civil rights enforcement even on individual matters. The Commission should build on its success as an independent agency committed to enforcement of civil rights, rather than taking a step back by revoking the delegation of litigation authority.

We urge you not to take this step.
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