REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS & HEALTH

S. 3275 IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL BAN ON ABORTION THAT WOULD HARM OUR HEALTH AND RIGHTS

Senate bill S. 3275 would impose a dangerous and unconstitutional nationwide ban on abortion that threatens individuals’ health and legal rights. The bill includes an arbitrary cutoff date, after which abortion providers would be criminalized for providing abortion. This bill is an attempt to override the core principles of Roe v. Wade and interfere in the provider-patient relationship. Politicians are not medical experts, and this is not an area where they should interfere.

S. 3275 Is Unconstitutional

S. 3275 is in direct violation of the U.S. Constitution: it bans almost all abortions at an arbitrary cutoff date of twenty weeks and it does not contain an exception for when an abortion is necessary to protect the pregnant individual’s health.

Each time a similar ban on abortion has been challenged in court, it has been blocked.

S. 3275 Deprives Individuals of the Ability to Make A Personal Medical Decision

S. 3275 would prevent individuals across the country from receiving an abortion after an arbitrary cutoff date, ignoring the many reasons why someone may need an abortion later in pregnancy.

It is not always possible for someone to get an abortion as soon as they would like. Some need time to gather funds to cover the cost and travel. Others are delayed in finding out they are pregnant or may develop or discover problems with the pregnancy as it progresses. And politicians put more barriers in the way, like restrictions that cause clinics to close or withholding insurance coverage of abortion.

These barriers are compounded for certain groups that already face barriers to accessing care, like people of color, those working in low-wage jobs, and those struggling to make ends meet. S. 3275’s arbitrary cutoff can leave many of these individuals unable to access the care they need. Everyone’s situation is different. Politicians should not deny an individual the ability to make a legal decision in consultation with those they trust the most.

S. 3275 Would Send Health Care Providers to Jail and Interfere with the Patient-Provider Relationship

S. 3275 would criminalize health providers, threatening them with a prison sentence of up to five years for providing the care that their patients need.

S. 3275 interferes with the patient-provider relationship. It requires providers to use an “informed consent” form that goes against established medical practice and includes additional provisions that force them to provide care contrary to the practice of medicine.

The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) has come out in strong opposition to previous versions of this bill, citing the serious threat this bill poses to women’s health and because it is not based on sound science.
S. 3275’s Life Exception Is Overly Narrow and Puts Insurmountable Obstacles in the Path of Health Care Providers

S. 3275’s purported “life exception” is unacceptably narrow and puts so many obstacles in the path of health care providers that it is meaningless.

Even when a pregnant person’s life is at risk, S. 3275 forces providers to “wait and see” whether the patient really would die or suffer “substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function” before performing an abortion.

The exception does not extend to mental illness a patient could be experiencing, meaning that an individual who is suicidal could be denied a lifesaving abortion.

S. 3275 only exempts a survivor of incest if they are a minor. And it only exempts minor survivors of rape and incest if they report the crime to law enforcement or a government agency.

S. 3275 ignores the experience of a sexual assault survivor by imposing requirements that would deny a survivor control at a critical time and force a survivor to take actions they might not be ready or able to take, which could lead to further trauma and unnecessary risks.

Throughout a pregnancy, a patient should be able to get the care they need. S. 3275 denies that to countless people in this country. S. 3275 is an unconstitutional, cruel bill that exposes politicians’ efforts to erode the legal right to abortion.

1. See, e.g., Planned Parenthood of S.E. Pa. v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 879 (1992) (“A State may not prohibit any woman from making the ultimate decision to terminate her pregnancy before viability”.

2. Even when a pregnant person’s life is at risk, S. 3275 forces providers to “wait and see” whether the patient really would die or suffer “substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function” before performing an abortion.

3. Even when a pregnant person’s life is at risk, S. 3275 forces providers to “wait and see” whether the patient really would die or suffer “substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function” before performing an abortion.

4. “ACOG strongly opposes political efforts to limit a woman’s ability to get the care she needs, specifically, attempts to ban abortions through medically unnecessary cutoff points . . . H.R. 36 [a previous iteration of S. 3275 that would similarly impose a nationwide 20-week ban], the proposed legislation in the U.S. House of Representatives . . . is unconstitutional and a gross interference in the delivery of care.” American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (October 3, 2017), available at https://www.acog.org/About-ACOG/News-Room/Statements/2017/ACOG-Opposes-US-House-of-Representatives-Abortion-Ban.