



**NATIONAL
WOMEN'S
LAW CENTER**

Justice for Her. Justice for All.

MARCH 2020 | FACT SHEET

Promoting Pay Transparency to Fight the Gender Wage Gap: Creative International Models

Secrecy about pay and pay practices not only masks gender and racial pay gaps, it perpetuates them. Cultural norms around pay secrecy remain strong. Many employers explicitly prohibit or discourage discussion of pay, even though this practice is illegal,¹ leading workers to be fearful of questioning the validity of their pay due to workplace power dynamics or threat of retaliation. And because pay often is cloaked in secrecy, when a discriminatory salary decision is made, it is seldom as obvious to an affected employee as a termination or a denial of a promotion.² As a result, employees often have no idea they are experiencing pay discrimination; even when they suspect it, they face significant obstacles in gathering the information that would prove it, which undermines their ability to challenge such discrimination. Secrecy around pay also reduces employer incentives to proactively review and evaluate their compensation practices and address any unjustified disparities between employees. In short, pay secrecy allows unjustified pay gaps to grow in the shadows, undetected.

In 2016, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) announced changes to the EEO-1, a form it has used for decades to annually collect workforce demographic information from employers with 100 or more employees. The changes for the first time required employers to confidentially report to the EEOC employee compensation data and hours worked within 12 pay bands, broken down by gender, race, ethnicity, and job category. This pay data promised to give the EEOC an invaluable tool to fight pay discrimination by helping the agency identify firms with racial or gender pay gaps within each job category that significantly diverge from their industry and regional peers, for potential further assessment. It also would prompt employers to proactively and regularly review and evaluate their own payrolls and correct unjustified pay gaps. Companies were scheduled to submit the data beginning in March 2018, but in 2017 the Trump Administration halted the pay data collection, subjecting it to a largely unexplained “review and stay.”³ In response, NWLC and partners sued the Trump administration and in March 2019, a federal district court judge ruled that the administration’s stay was illegal and reinstated the pay data collection.⁴ Pursuant to the court’s order, EEOC collected pay data from companies for the years 2017 and 2018. At the same time, however, the government appealed the decision, and EEOC issued a notice indicating that after it complied with the court’s order it would cease collecting pay data via the EEO-1 form.⁵ NWLC and our partners will continue to use all the resources at our disposal to protect this long

and hard-fought victory for pay transparency at the federal level and will seek to ensure that the EEOC analyzes the pay data it has collected and uses this data to guide its pay discrimination enforcement work.

This win represents critical movement towards increased pay transparency in the United States.⁶ Recent international efforts to require employers to report pay data or wage gaps, or to require employers to demonstrate pay equity, suggest creative approaches that can further inspire U.S. policy makers.

Iceland

In January 2018, Iceland passed a new law requiring public and private employers to obtain an Equal Pay Certification once every three years, proving that they are paying women and men equally.⁷ In order to obtain the certification, employers will need to show compliance with an equal pay management system called the “Equal Pay Standard.”⁸ The standard is comprised of rules and guidelines to analyze pay structures within companies⁹ and determine whether pay inequities exist between male and female employees. The Icelandic government will fine companies that fail to receive the certification.¹⁰ The standard was developed jointly by Iceland’s unions, the Icelandic employers’ confederation, and government officials.

The certification requirement will take effect in phases. The first group of employers – employers with 250 or more employees – was required to implement the standard by December 31, 2018. The final group – employers with at least 25 employees – must comply by December 31, 2021. State employers are on a different and faster timeline, however. All state ministries need to have undergone certification by December 31, 2018, and state institutions and companies owned by the state with 25 or more employees, need to have undergone certification by December 31, 2019.¹¹ Initial rollout of the standard has reportedly been slow-going. As of the end of 2019, of the 269 companies and institutions that were required to obtain the certification by that point, only 134 had done so.¹² The most recent numbers from the Icelandic Center for Gender Equality report that as of March 24, 2020, 176 entities have obtained the certification.¹³

United Kingdom

As of 2018, England, Wales and Scotland require public and private employers with at least 250 employees to annually publish information designed to show whether there is a difference in the mean and median pay of their male and

female employees.¹⁴ Each covered employer must submit to the U.K.’s Equality and Human Rights Commission 1) the difference between the mean and median hourly rates of pay for male and female employees; 2) the difference between the mean and median bonus pay paid to male and female employees; 3) the proportions of male and female employees who were paid bonus pay; and 4) the proportions of male and female employees in pre-set pay bands by quartiles: lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper.¹⁵ Employers must publish their gender pay gap data and a written statement confirming that the published information is accurate and signed by “an appropriate person” as defined by type of employer on their public facing website, and report their data to the government through an online portal.¹⁶ The regulation defines “employee” for reporting purposes as a person with a contract of employment with the organization. However, it leaves out employees being paid at less than their usual rate, such as employees who are being paid at a portion of their usual salary while on maternity leave.

Since 2018 more than 10,000 companies have complied with the new regulation. Initial data from the first wave of reporting revealed that some large multinational companies, including U.S.-based companies with U.K. operations, such as Apple and JP Morgan, have significant gender gaps in pay.¹⁷ The second year of reporting also revealed significant gender pay gaps in companies across a variety of industries, and some companies reported an increase in the wage gap between male and female employees from 2018 to 2019.¹⁸

The data is publicly available and searchable on a U.K. government website,¹⁹ and media organizations are also analyzing the data by employer, industry, and pay quartile and publishing the results, publicly revealing the companies with the largest disparities.²⁰ Some companies have also filed action plans along with their data, demonstrating that the national reporting requirement spurred companies to develop a plan to address disparities.²¹ As required under law, companies are creating public-facing webpages with their data, and are also publicly acknowledging the importance of gender equality for the overall good of the workforce.²²

However, the Equality and Human Rights Commission, the agency that enforces the regulation, lacks strong enforcement authority. A 2018 freedom of information request from The Guardian showed that no companies were fined after the first year of reporting, even though hundreds of companies did not accurately file their gender

pay gap figures on time. Instead, companies would only be fined if a case were to reach the courts.²³ As a result of this reporting, the Commission has since published a website detailing non-monetary enforcement actions it took against companies in both 2018 and 2019.²⁴

Australia

In Australia, all private sector employers with 100 or more employees must annually report pay data to the Workplace Gender Equality Agency, a special agency created in 2012.²⁵ Employers must report on: the gender composition of their workforce and governing bodies by occupational categories; compensation data, including base salary and total remuneration which includes base salary plus other payments; the availability and utility of workplace practices related to flexible working arrangements for employees with family or caregiving responsibilities; whether or not the employer has consulted with employees on gender equality issues at work; and any other matters specified by the agency, such as sex harassment and discrimination issues within the workplace.²⁶

Reports detailing information other than pay are then made publicly available for each company. For pay data, the Agency maintains a website with aggregate pay data information showing pay gap percentage trends by industry.²⁷ Individuals may also use the site to drill down into industry-specific information, and compare wage gap numbers and other data across multiple industries.²⁸

As of 2016, three years after Australia's reporting requirement went into effect, 70 percent of Australian employers had policies in place to support workplace gender equality.²⁹ The most recent progress report generated by the Workplace Gender Equality Agency shows that the gender pay gap has declined in most industries and across most manager and occupational categories between 2013-2018.³⁰ However, the gap varies industry to industry, and continues to rise in some industries.³¹

Austria

Pursuant to Austria's Equal Treatment Act, every two years companies with 150 or more employees are required to produce reports including, among other measures, the number of women and men by occupational group and the average or median income of women and men by occupational group. The income reported includes benefits and bonuses.³² These reports are shared with organizational "works councils" (bodies of elected workers who represent

the interests of fellow workers to management). Those councils may then use the information to better negotiate over working conditions and target opportunities for increasing gender equity.³³

Belgium

Belgium has a number of laws and policies in place to narrow the gender wage gap. Belgium requires companies to include differences in salaries between men and women in annual audits and reports.³⁴ In addition, in 2012 Belgium passed a law mandating that every two years, organizations with 50 or more employees must conduct a gender pay gap analysis. The analysis includes gender-segregated mean basic pay and allowances per employee category, job level, job evaluation class, seniority, and education level.³⁵ If this analysis shows that women's pay is lower than men's, the organization must produce an action plan to narrow the gap.³⁶

Germany

As part of Germany's 2017 Transparency in Pay Act which went into effect in January 2018, employers with more than 500 employees who are required to publish a status report under the German Commercial Code are required to combine their status report with a public report detailing measures the company has taken to promote gender equality and achieve equal pay, along with the impact of any measures.³⁷ These reports have to be made every three to five years, depending on whether or not the employer is bound by a collective wage agreement.³⁸ Under the Transparency in Pay Act requirements, however, employers are not required to disclose company-wide pay data. The lack of an affirmative pay data reporting obligation weakens the overall usefulness of the reporting obligation in incentivizing employer self-evaluation and correction and improving the efficiency of government outreach and enforcement efforts.

In an effort to allow employees to more easily assess their pay in relation to their co-workers, employees in Germany working for employers with more than 200 employees may request information about the salaries of co-workers in similar positions. Specifically, the information is to include the average monthly gross salary of at least six co-workers of the opposite gender who perform similar work, as well as information about bonuses or other perks.³⁹ Initial surveys of both employee representatives and companies indicate that the implementation of these measures has been slow. One survey of employee representatives showed a minority

of companies have begun to review their pay structures. Another survey of German companies showed that few individuals were inquiring about the pay of coworkers as of February 2019.⁴⁰

Canada

In 2018, Canada passed An Act to Establish a Proactive Pay Equity Regime with the Federal Public and Private Sectors, which becomes effective in 2020.⁴¹ Under the Act, federally regulated public and private sector employers with at least 10 employees are required to examine their compensation practices and create pay equity plans to remedy the gender wage gaps in their workplaces.⁴² They will also be required to provide annual statements on their pay equity plans to the Pay Equity Commissioner.⁴³ To ensure that employers comply with the law, the Canadian government will introduce an administrative monetary penalty system for employers.⁴⁴ The Canadian government has also established a federal Pay Equity Commissioner responsible for public education as well as the adjudication of disputes related to pay equity under the new act. In addition to the ability to issue monetary penalties for non-compliance, the Commissioner will have dedicated funding to initiate audits and conduct investigations.⁴⁵

France

In September 2018, France enacted legislation, For the Freedom to Choose One's Professional Future, that requires companies with more than 50 employees to measure their gender pay gaps and to disclose the steps taken to remedy those gaps.⁴⁶ Using a tool released by the French government in November 2018, companies are required to measure their gender pay gaps based on a 100-point scale and to report their scores on their websites.⁴⁷ The pay analysis takes into account, among other factors, the pay gap between men and women, calculated on the basis of the average remuneration of women compared to men by age group and equivalent job category as well as the percentage of female employees with a pay increase in the year following their return from maternity leave.⁴⁸ When a company's results are below a certain level, the company will be required to propose "a catch-up" plan, which will be created in cooperation with unions operating in the workplace during negotiations regarding gender equality in the workplace.⁴⁹ If a company does not report its gender wage gaps or if it does not correct its gender wage gaps within three years, it may incur a financial penalty of up to one percent of the company's total payroll.⁵⁰ The legislation went into effect for companies employing over 250

employees in January 2019 and for companies employing over 50 employees in January 2020.⁵¹ Initial reporting revealed an average score of 80, but companies were slow to comply with the reporting requirements with over half reporting late and with many initial reports showing companies scoring so low that they would be subject to the one percent fine unless they took corrective action within three years.⁵²

Denmark

Pursuant to Denmark's 2006 Act on Gender Specific Pay Statistics, which amended Denmark's Equal Pay Act, companies with at least 35 employees are required to annually disclose gender-specific pay statistics to the government.⁵³ This provision is included in Denmark's 2008 Equal Pay Act.⁵⁴ Researchers recently examined wage statistics of Danish companies before and after the 2006 Act on Gender Specific Pay Statistics and found that from 2003 to 2008, the gender pay gap at mandatory reporting firms shrank seven percent, while the gap at control firms did not change.⁵⁵

Sweden, Finland, and Norway

Sweden, Finland and Norway expand transparency using a novel approach;⁵⁶ the government makes income tax information public for everyone who files taxes, enabling individuals to easily find out what their boss and coworkers, but also neighbors and friends are being paid.⁵⁷ This approach normalizes pay transparency across the population.

* * *

In the United States, the gender wage gap has barely budged in over a decade and continues to shortchange women, with women of color hit hardest. International examples provide a roadmap for different levels of government across the country to enact systems to expose race and gender wage gaps. We must continue to take action to increase pay transparency, allowing employees, employee organizations and government agencies to uncover pernicious pay discrimination and prodding employers to engage in self-evaluation and self-correction. These measures will help close race and gender wage gaps once and for all.

- 1 National Women's Law Center, *Combating Punitive Pay Secrecy Policies* (February 2019) <https://nwlc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Combating-Punitive-Pay-Secrecy-Policies.pdf>.
- 2 As Justice Ginsburg has noted:
Pay disparities often occur, as they did in Ledbetter's case, in small increments; cause to suspect that discrimination is at work develops only over time. Comparative pay information, moreover, is often hidden from the employee's view. Employers may keep under wraps the pay differentials maintained among supervisors, no less the reasons for those differentials. Small initial discrepancies may not be seen as meet for a federal case, particularly when the employee, trying to succeed in a nontraditional environment, is averse to making waves. Pay disparities are thus significantly different from adverse actions "such as termination, failure to promote, ...or refusal to hire," all involving fully communicated discrete acts, "easy to identify" as discriminatory.
Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 550 U.S. 618, 645 (2007) (Ginsburg, J., dissenting).
- 3 Braden Campbell, *Civil Rights Groups Press OMB For Answers On EEO-1 Form*, LAW360 (Mar. 22, 2018), <https://www.law360.com/articles/1024991/civil-rights-groups-press-omb-for-answers-on-eeo-1-form>; Pamela Wolf, *Lawsuit challenges rollback of revised EEO-1 report's pay data collection*, WOLTERS KLUWER, NEWS, <http://www.employmentlawdaily.com/index.php/news/lawsuit-challenges-rollback-of-revised-eeo-1-report-pay-data-collection/>.
- 4 *Nat'l Women's L. Ctr. v. O.M.B., et al.*, 358 F.Supp.3d 66 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 4, 2019) *appeal filed* May 3, 2019, argued Jan. 24, 2020 (D.C. Cir.).
- 5 *Nat'l Women's L. Ctr. v. O.M.B., et al.*, No. 19-5130 (D.C. Cir. May 3, 2019); U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMM'N, Notice of Information Collection – Request For New Control Number For a Currently Approved Collection: Employer Information Report (EEO-1) Component 1; Revision of Existing Approval for EEO-1 Component 2, 84 Fed. Reg. 48138, n.9, 21 (Sept. 12, 2019).
- 6 An additional important transparency policy in the United States was enacted as part of the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the Act, publicly traded companies must disclose their "CEO Pay Ratio." This number is the CEO's total annual compensation, the total annual compensation of the median employee of the organization, and the ratio between the two. Deb Lifshay, *The CEO Pay Ratio: Data and Perspectives from the 2018 Proxy Season*, HARVARD LAW SCHOOL FORUM ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND FINANCIAL REGULATION (Oct. 14, 2018), <https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2018/10/14/the-ceo-pay-ratio-data-and-perspectives-from-the-2018-proxy-season/>.
- 7 Sarah David Heydemann, *Iceland's New Equal Pay Law is a Huge Deal – But Let's Be Clear Why*, NATIONAL WOMEN'S LAW CENTER (Jan. 18, 2018), <https://nwlc.org/blog/icelands-new-equal-pay-law-is-a-huge-deal-but-lets-be-clear-why/>.
- 8 *Equal Pay Standard*, ICELANDIC WOMEN'S RIGHTS ASSOCIATION, <http://kvenrettindafelag.is/resources/equal-pay-standard/>.
- 9 *Looking for information about equal pay in Iceland? All about the Equal Pay Standard*, ICELANDIC WOMEN'S RIGHTS ASSOCIATION (Apr. 1, 2018), <http://kvenrettindafelag.is/2018/looking-for-information-about-equal-pay-in-iceland-all-about-the-equal-pay-standard/>.
- 10 *Iceland hopes to get rid of the gender pay gap with a revolutionary new law*, PRI'S THE WORLD (Jan. 8, 2018), <https://www.pri.org/stories/2018-01-08/iceland-hopes-get-rid-gender-pay-gap-revolutionary-new-law>.
- 11 *Looking for information about equal pay in Iceland? All about the Equal Pay Standard*, ICELANDIC WOMEN'S RIGHTS ASSOCIATION, *supra* note 9.
- 12 Jelena Ćirić, *Slow Going to Implement Equal Pay Certification*, ICELAND REVIEW (Jan 2, 2020) <https://www.icelandreview.com/business/slow-going-to-implement-equal-pay-certification/>.
- 13 Iceland Directorate of Equality, *Equal Pay Certification List of Certified Entities* (Mar. 24, 2020), <https://www.jafnretti.is/is/vinumarkadur/jofn-laun-og-jafnir-moguleikar/listi-yfir-adila-sem-hlotid-hafa-vottun>.
- 14 The Equality Act 2010 (Gender Pay Gap Information) Regulations 2017, 2017 No. 172, (U.K.) available at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/172/pdfs/uksi_20170172_en.pdf; *What is the Equality Act?*, EQUALITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (Oct. 30, 2017), <https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/equality-act-2010/what-equality-act>; <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/gender-pay-gap-reporting>.
- 15 *Id.*
- 16 *Gender pay gap reporting: overview. When you must publish and report*, GOV.UK (Dec. 1, 2017), <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/gender-pay-gap-reporting-overview#when-you-must-publish-and-report>; *Gender pay gap reporting: what employers must publish*, GOV.UK (Feb. 22, 2017), <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/gender-pay-gap-reporting-what-employers-must-publish>; *Gender pay gap reporting: overview*, GOV.UK (Dec. 1, 2017), <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/gender-pay-gap-reporting-overview#relevant-employer>.
- 17 Sarah Butler, *The UK companies reporting the biggest gender pay gaps*, THE GUARDIAN (Apr. 5, 2018), <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2018/apr/05/the-uk-companies-reporting-the-biggest-gender-pay-gaps>.
- 18 Lucy Meakin and Hayley Warren, *Second Year of U.K. Gender Pay Gap Reporting Indicates Little Has Changed So Far*, BLOOMBERG (Apr. 5, 2019), <https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2019-uk-gender-pay-gap/>. Analyses of the pay quartile data demonstrate that in most companies, women are underrepresented in higher paying jobs and overrepresented in low paying jobs.
- 19 *Gender Pay Gap Service*, GOV.UK (last visited June 7, 2018), <https://gender-pay-gap.service.gov.uk/Viewing/search-results>.
- 20 See Aleksandra Wisniewska, et al., *Gender Pay Gap: women still short-changed in the UK*, FINANCIAL TIMES (Apr. 23, 2019), <https://ig.ft.com/gender-pay-gap-UK-2019/>; Daniella McGuigan, *Gender Pay Gap Reporting—Happy Anniversary?*, NAT'L L. REV. (Apr. 5, 2019), <https://www.natlawreview.com/article/gender-pay-gap-reporting-happy-anniversary>; Lucy Meakin & Hayley Warren, *Firms Are Reporting Their Gender Pay Gaps Even After The Deadline*, BLOOMBERG (Apr. 5, 2018), <https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-uk-gender-pay-gap/uk-gender-pay-gap/>.
- 21 Hannah Murphy, *UK pay data force companies to mind the gender gap*, FINANCIAL TIMES (Sept. 26, 2017), <https://www.ft.com/content/dd21e03e-634a-11e7-8814-0ac7eb84e5f1> (e.g., "After digging into its pay data, Virgin Money drew up several initiatives to improve gender balance generally in its highest ranks.").
- 22 See, e.g., J.P. Morgan, *2017 UK Gender Pay Gap Report 2017* (2017), <https://www.jpmorgan.com/global/disclosures/gender-pay-gap-uk>; McKinsey & Co., *UK Gender Pay Gap report 2018* (2018), <https://www.mckinsey.com/uk/our-people/uk-gender-pay-gap-report>; Cisco, *Cisco UK Gender Pay Gap Report* (2018), https://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/inclusion-collaboration/uk-gender-pay-gap-report.pdf.
- 23 Frances Perraudin, *What is Gender Pay Gap Reporting and What Does It Mean?*, THE GUARDIAN (Feb. 28, 2019), <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2019/feb/28/what-is-gender-pay-gap-reporting-and-what-does-it-mean>.
- 24 Equality and Human Rights Commission, *Gender pay gap: our enforcement action* (last updated Dec. 10, 2019) <https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/pay-gaps/gender-pay-gap-our-enforcement-action>.
- 25 *What is the gender pay gap?*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (last visited June 6, 2018), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/addressing-pay-equity/what-gender-pay-gap>.
- 26 *Reporting requirements*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (last visited June 6, 2018), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/about-legislation/reporting-requirements>; Reference Guide, *Guide to reporting under the Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (FEBRUARY 2020), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/WGEA-Reference-Guide-2020-v1.0.pdf>.
- 27 *Reporting requirements*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (last visited June 6, 2018), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/about-legislation/reporting-requirements>.
- 28 *Public reports*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (last visited June 6, 2018), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/report/public-reports>.
- 29 *Progress Report 2014-16*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (Feb. 28, 2017), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/wgea-progress-report-to-minister.pdf>; Jamie Smyth, *Gender pay reporting regime targets workplace inequality*, FINANCIAL TIMES (Apr. 3, 2017), <https://www.ft.com/content/73721960-1854-11e7-9c35-0dd2cb31823a>.

- 30** *Progress Report 2017-18*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY 15-17 (Feb. 27, 2019), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/wgea-progress-report-2017-18.pdf>
- 31** *Id.*
- 32** *Equal Opportunities: Equal Treatment Legislation in Austria*, SOZIAL MINISTERIUM (May 2016), <https://broschuerenservice.sozialministerium.at/Home/Download?publicationId=46>.
- 33** *International Gender Reporting Schemes*, AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT, WORKPLACE GENDER EQUALITY AGENCY (January 2018), <https://www.wgea.gov.au/sites/default/files/International-gender-reporting.pdf>.
- 34** Koen De Bisschop & Yne Machiels, *Equal Pay in Belgium*, Littler, (March 23, 2020) <https://www.littler.com/publication-press/publication/equal-pay-belgium>.
- 35** Albertine Veldman, *Pay Transparency in the EU*, EUROPEAN COMMISSION, (April 2017) ec.europa.eu/newsroom/just/document.cfm?action=display&doc_id=44501.
- 36** *Id.*; Lynne Bernabei & Kristen Sinisi, *Gender Pay Data: Impact Of European Laws In The US*, LAW360 (May 11, 2018), <https://www.law360.com/articles/1041706/gender-pay-data-impact-of-european-laws-in-the-us>; Jon Stone, *How Belgium is defeating the gender pay gap*, INDEPENDENT (Apr. 5, 2018), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/gender-pay-gap-belgium-how-fight-business-work-women-income-men-a8290321.html>.
- 37** Baker McKenzie, *Spotlight on the Gender Pay Gap in Germany* (2018) https://www.bakermckenzie.com/-/media/files/insight/publications/2018/06/ar_spotlight-on-the-gender-pay-gap-in-germany.pdf?la=en; André Zimmermann, *The New German Transparency Act – Are you Ready?*, ORRICK: EQUAL PAY PULSE (July 13, 2017), https://blogs.orrick.com/equalpaypulse/2017/07/13/the-new-german-pay-transparency-act-are-you-ready/?utm_source=Mondaq&utm_medium=syndication&utm_campaign=View-Original.
- 38** Bernd Borgmann, *New Act on Remuneration Transparency*, DLA PIPER: EMPLOYMENT GERMANY BLOG (June 13, 2017), <https://blogs.dlapiper.com/employmentgermany/2017/06/13/new-act-on-remuneration-transparency/>.
- 39** Regierungsentwurf Entwurf eines Gesetzes zur Förderung der Transparenz von Entgeltstrukturen, DEUTSCHER BUNDESTAG: DRUCKSACHEN 18/11133, <https://www.bmfsfj.de/blob/113464/d05130bed5ec6f90a37d2c340f898d2f/gesetzentwurf-lohngerechtigkeit-data.pdf> (Ger.); Bernd Borgmann, *New Act on Remuneration Transparency*, DLA PIPER: EMPLOYMENT GERMANY BLOG (June 13, 2017), <https://blogs.dlapiper.com/employmentgermany/2017/06/13/new-act-on-remuneration-transparency/>; André Zimmermann, *The New German Transparency Act – Are you Ready?*, ORRICK: EQUAL PAY PULSE (July 13, 2017), <https://blogs.orrick.com/equalpaypulse/2017/07/13/the-new-german-pay-transparency-act-are-you-ready/>.
- 40** Christine Aumayr-Pintar, *Slow start for gender pay transparency in Germany* (Feb 4, 2019) <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/blog/slow-start-for-gender-pay-transparency-in-germany#footnote-sX4ve-1>.
- 41** *Historic Proactive Pay Equity Legislation Receives Royal Assent*, GOVERNMENT OF CANADA (13 Dec. 2018), <https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/news/2018/12/historic-proactive-pay-equity-legislation-receives-royal-assent.html>; *Royal Assent Definition and Purpose*, SENATE OF CANADA <https://sencanada.ca/en/about/procedural-references/notes/n6>.
- 42** *Id.*
- 43** *Government of Canada Introduces Historic Proactive Pay Equity Legislation*, GOVERNMENT OF CANADA (29 Oct. 2018), <https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/news/2018/10/government-of-canada-introduces-historic-proactive-pay-equity-legislation.html>.
- 44** *Backgrounder: Proactive Pay Equity*, GOVERNMENT OF CANADA, <https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/news/2018/10/backgrounder-pay-equity.html>.
- 45** Government of Canada appoints Canada's first federal Pay Equity Commissioner, Cision, (Sep. 10, 2019) <https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/government-of-canada-appoints-canada-s-first-federal-pay-equity-commissioner-810248902.html>
- 46** Sabine Smith-Vidal and Letitia de Pelet, *New French Rules on Gender Pay Equality Enacted*, MORGAN LEWIS (Sept. 20, 2018), <https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/new-french-rules-on-gender-pay-equality-enacted>.
- 47** Sabine Smith-Vidal and Letitia de Pelet, *France Presents Tool To Measure Gender Pay Gap in Companies*, MORGAN LEWIS (Nov. 27, 2018), <https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/france-presents-tool-to-measure-gender-pay-gap-in-companies>.
- 48** Emanuel Benard, Adelaide Jomier, and Lea Ghoreychi, *Closing the Gender Pay Gap in France: Get Ready*, ORRICK (Feb. 14, 2019), <https://blogs.orrick.com/employment/2019/02/14/closing-the-gender-pay-gap-in-france-get-ready/>.
- 49** *Id.*, Sabine Smith-Vidal and Letitia de Pelet. *New French Rules on Gender Pay Equality Enacted*. MORGAN LEWIS. (20 Sept. 2018), <https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/new-french-rules-on-gender-pay-equality-enacted>.
- 50** *Id.*
- 51** *Id.*
- 52** *Over 100 of biggest companies in France miss equality targets*, FINANCIAL TIMES, (March 8, 2019) <https://www.ft.com/content/8b6a7440-4110-11e9-9bee-efab61506f44>
- 53** Denmark Ministry of Employment, *Consolidation Act No. 899 of 5 September 2008*, <https://workplacedenmark.dk/media/9208/consolidation-act-on-equal-pay-to-men-and-women-pdf.pdf>; ILO, NATLEX: DATABASE OF NATIONAL LABOUR, SOCIAL SECURITY, AND RELATED HUMAN RIGHTS LEGISLATION – DENMARK – THE EQUAL PAY ACT (No. 899 OF 2008) (2019), http://www.ilo.org/dyn/natlex/natlex4.detail?p_lang=en&p_isn=84692.
- 54** *Id.*
- 55** Morten Bennedsen, Elena Simintzi, Margarita Tsoutsoura, and Daniel Wolfenzon, *Research: Gender Gaps Shrink When Companies Are Required to Disclose Them*, HARV. BUS. REV. (Jan. 23, 2019), <https://hbr.org/2019/01/research-gender-pay-gaps-shrink-when-companies-are-required-to-disclose-them>.
- 56** Sweden and Finland also have audit and reporting requirements in addition to making income tax information public, Christine Aumayr-Pintar, *Pay Transparency in Europe: First Experiences with Gender Pay Reports and Audits in Four Member States*, Cornell University International Publications (2018) <https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1650&context=intl>.
- 57** Katrine Marçal, *Sweden shows that pay transparency works*, FINANCIAL TIMES (Jul. 27, 2017), <https://www.ft.com/content/2a9274be-72aa-11e7-93ff-99f383b09ff9>; Felicity Specter, <https://www.theguardian.com/money/blog/2016/apr/11/when-it-comes-to-tax-transparency-norway-leads-the-field> <https://www.theguardian.com/money/blog/2016/apr/11/when-it-comes-to-tax-transparency-norway-leads-the-field>.