Across the nation, health care facilities and providers are refusing to give patients health care, information, and referrals because of their own moral or religious beliefs. State and federal laws—known as refusal laws—often allow such refusals, enabling hospitals and individual health care providers to determine a patient’s care based on their religious beliefs, not based on what is best for the patient’s health and circumstances. But a health care provider’s religious beliefs should never determine the quality of care a patient receives.

Refusals To Provide Care

When health care providers and hospital CEOs refuse to provide health care based on religious beliefs, patients’ health and lives are put in danger.

- **Hospitals refuse to provide emergency abortion care.** Mindy Swank was 20-weeks pregnant and had recently learned her fetus had a severe abnormality when her water broke. Although the doctor at the Catholic hospital told her that continuing the pregnancy could endanger her future fertility and perhaps even her life, the hospital refused to treat her. She was sent to her local hospital which also denied treatment because it followed Catholic directives. After being denied treatment multiple times, finally, at 27 weeks pregnant, because Mindy was experiencing severe hemorrhaging, the hospital induced labor. Mindy’s baby died soon after delivery.¹

- **Hospitals refuse to provide sterilization procedures.** A Catholic hospital in Arkansas refused to provide a sterilization procedure to Jennafer Morris, who requested one at the time she delivered her baby because becoming pregnant again presented a danger to her health.² Undergoing sterilization at the time of cesarean delivery presents fewer risks and is more cost effective, but hospitals refuse because of religious-based prohibitions on sterilization procedures.

- **Health care providers refuse to provide emergency care to LGBTQ individuals.** Tyra Hunter, a black transgender woman, was involved in a car accident in Washington, DC. EMTs began treatment for her at the scene but stopped providing care when they discovered she was a transgender woman and instead berated her with racist and transphobic taunts. Ms. Hunter was then given inadequate care at the hospital and died from her injuries soon after.³
• Health care providers refuse to treat patients living with gender dysphoria. For more than a decade, Illinois resident Naya Taylor received care at the Carle Physician Group. After consulting with a licensed social worker, Taylor, a transgender woman, began hormone replacement therapy (HRT) as treatment for her gender dysphoria. She asked her doctors at Carle to monitor her hormone levels and requested a prescription for transition-related hormones. Taylor’s physicians refused and told her that the clinic did not “have to treat people like you.”

• Health care systems refuse to provide care that transgender patients need. In 2020, Jesse Hammons scheduled his hysterectomy surgery at the publicly funded St. Joseph’s Medical Center in Baltimore, Maryland. His surgery was cancelled only a week prior to surgery because he is transgender. As Hammons explained, “The hospital will perform hysterectomies for everyone else, but they did not think that my life, as a man who is transgender, is equally worthy of protection. While no one should be turned away from health care because of who they are, the fact that this institution is part of the University of Maryland Medical System makes it particularly painful.”

• Health care providers refuse to treat patients living with HIV. When a patient seeking care from a “preferred doctor” in his insurance plan network disclosed his HIV status, he was told the doctor did not “treat HIV.” Later, when he sought treatment for chest pain, the doctor dismissed him and recommended that he see a psychiatrist. He continued experiencing troubling symptoms and, ultimately, police brought the patient to an emergency room. His doctor refused to authorize emergency room treatment, but he was admitted with gastrointestinal hemorrhaging and was diagnosed with pneumonia, a staph infection, and AIDS.

Refusals To Provide Information And Referrals
Some health care providers refuse to provide patients with information about their health condition or referrals for appropriate care.

For example, an OB/GYN at a Midwestern University medical school reported working with multiple medical students who stated that they would be unwilling to provide contraceptive counseling to patients because of their religious beliefs. These students planned to become OB/GYN and family medicine physicians—doctors that patients trust for complete family planning information. Without complete information or referrals, patients may not have an accurate understanding of their condition or know the treatments available to them.

One OB/GYN reported that the local Catholic bishop forbids their hospital from providing information to patients about nearby health centers that would provide birth control. Patients who come to the hospital for family planning services do not receive any referrals.

These refusals violate patients’ right to informed consent under federal and state law and leave them without the information they need to make health care decisions for themselves.

Refusals To Provide Medication At The Pharmacy
Patients seeking medication at the pharmacy have also faced refusals because of religious beliefs and have been forced to leave without the medication they need.

• People seeking birth control or emergency contraception have been turned away at pharmacies. In at least 26 states across the country, women have been refused birth control at the pharmacy. This includes rape survivors who are seeking time-sensitive emergency contraception to prevent pregnancy. The same pharmacies that refuse to dispense birth control often also refuse to transfer a woman’s prescription or refer her to another pharmacy. Some pharmacists have even berated or humiliated patients seeking birth control. For rural women, a refusal at one pharmacy can mean a complete denial of necessary medication. Andrea Anderson, a mother of five in rural Minnesota, was prescribed Ella—a prescription-only emergency contraceptive—by her doctor. When Anderson tried to fill her prescription, the local pharmacist refused because of his personal beliefs and told her that she would also likely be refused at the town’s other pharmacy. So she drove twenty miles away to another pharmacy, but the medication was out of stock.

• Pharmacies refuse to fill prescriptions for miscarriage management. Two Georgia women reported that their doctors prescribed medication to complete their miscarriages, but local pharmacies refused to fill their prescriptions because they consider the medication to be an abortifacient. One of the women stated that she
ultimately decided to undergo a surgical procedure.\textsuperscript{12}

**Refusals To Provide Insurance Coverage**

Some religiously-affiliated health insurance plans exclude coverage for reproductive health services, and some employers refuse to provide coverage for certain services to their employees.\textsuperscript{13}

- **Some insurance plans refuse to cover reproductive health care.** Fidelis Care in New York refused to provide birth control coverage to state Medicaid enrollees until changing their policy to comply with state law.\textsuperscript{14}

- **Insurance companies refuse to cover basic medical services for trans and gender non-conforming people.** Insurers often perceive sex characteristic surgeries through a cisgender lens. Some insurance companies view procedures that treat, cure, or offer relief from gender dysphoria—such as facial gender reassignment—as purely cosmetic and refuse coverage.\textsuperscript{15}

- **Employers are refusing to cover birth control in their employee insurance plan.** A range of for-profit companies, non-profit organizations, colleges, and universities have objections to providing birth control coverage.\textsuperscript{16} These employers want to use their religious beliefs to block coverage for essential health care that women need and want.

Patients should never have to fear that a health care provider will turn them away due to religious or moral beliefs. Instead, every patient should receive equal access to health care services, as well as complete and accurate information about their health situation, no matter their reproductive decisions, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity. Laws should put patients first and ensure that they will receive comprehensive information and health care.